



FPGAs and Reconfigurable Computing

Some of the notes for this course were developed using the course notes for ECE 412 from the University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign

Texas A&M University

Objectives of this Lecture Unit

- Get a feel for the different technologies that can be used to implement a design
 - Flavors of hardware technologies
 - Flavors of implementation methods
- Understand the basics of how FPGAs work
 - So that the CAD tools in the lab make sense to you

Software, Custom Hardware or Reconfigurable Hardware?

- When should we use software, "custom" hardware, or reconfigurable hardware?
- Software based systems are easiest to implement
 - But there is a huge performance gap between software and hand-designed (custom) hardware systems
 - Often 100-to-1 ratio of performance (speed) or performance/area
- But custom hardware systems not so good for general computing
 - Big design effort (time, cost) are barriers to implementation
 - Not practical to buy a new machine every time you want to run a different program
- Reconfigurable systems offer best-of-both-worlds
 - Run-time programmability (in the field)
 - Hardware-level performance (although lower than custom hardware)
 - FPGAs and CPLDs are the vehicles for reconfigurable systems.

Why is Hardware Faster Than Software?

- Spatial vs. Temporal Computation
 - Processors divide computation across time, dedicated hardware divides across space
 - But dedicated hardware is hardwired for a specific task.

 $y = Ax^2 + Bx + C$

Texas A&M University

Why is Hardware Faster Than Software?

- Specialization:
 - Instruction set may not provide the operations your program needs
 - Processors provide hardware that may not be useful in every program or in every cycle of a given program
 - Multipliers
 - Dividers
- Instruction Memory
 - Processors need lots of memory to hold the instructions that make up a program and to hold intermediate results.
- Bit Width Mismatches
 - In general, processors have a fixed bit width, and all computations are performed on that many bits
 - Multimedia vector instructions (MMX) a response to this

So why not just use Hardware?

- **Dedicated** hardware is
 - Dedicated (not flexible)
 - Takes long to design and develop (typical processor takes a handful of years to design, with design teams of a few hundred engineers)
 - This is expensive!
 - Only way to justify such an effort is if the customer demand guarantees high volume sales
- So there is a strong need for a design approach which has performance comparable to dedicated hardware, with ease-of-programmability comparable to software.
- Answer? Reconfigurable computing (FPGAs, CPLDs and their cousins)

Good Applications for Reconfigurable Computing

- Data Parallelism
 - Execute same computations on many independent data elements
 - Pipeline computations through the hardware
- Small and/or varying bit widths
 - Take advantage of the ability to customize the size of operators
- Low-volume applications which require rapid design turn-around time and hardware-like speeds
 - Several telecom, DSP (filters), radar, genomics (DNA sequence matching), processor emulation, neural network and similar applications.

Will FPGAs Defeat CPUs?

- <u>Capacity</u>: Instructions are very dense representation, logic blocks aren't
- <u>Tools</u>: Compilers for reconfigurable logic aren't very good
 - Some operations are hard to implement on FPGAs
 - C-for-FPGA technology is improving fast, though

One approach to capacity is to exploit the 90-10 rule of software

- Run the 90% of code that takes 10% of execution time on a conventional processor
- Run the 10% of code that takes 90% of execution time on reconfigurable logic
- But the temptation to merge the two worlds is real
 - Programmable-reconfigurable processors

A Peek Under the Hood

- In the next few slides, we will peek under the hood of some of competing hardware based digital system design platforms
- We will cover
 - Application Specific ICs (ASICs).
 - Examples are IP routing ICs
 - SSI/MSI/LSI/VLSI
 - Reconfigurable (also sometimes called programmable) ICs.
 - Examples are FPGAs, CPLDs
 - Full custom Integrated Circuits (ICs).
 - Examples are processors, GPUs, network processors, DSP processors.

Application Specific Integrated Circuits

- Very high capacity today -- 10-100M transistors
- Very high speed 500MHz+
 - Integration
 - Specificity



– Full Custom



- Standard-cell (synthesized) dominating methodology due to manufacturing considerations
- Long fabrication time
 - Weeks-months from completed design to product
- Only economical for high-volume parts
 - Making the masks required for fabrication is becoming very expensive, in the order of \$1M per design

Deep Submicron Design Challenges

- This slide discusses why ASICs are becoming less popular in recent times (compared to reconfigurable ICs)
- Physical effects are increasingly significant
 - Parasitics, reliability issues, power management, process variation, etc.
- Design complexity is high
 - Multi-functionality integration
 - Design verification is a major limitation on time-to-market
- Cost of fabrication facilities and mask making has increased significantly

Rapid Increase in Manufacturing Cost



Source: EETimes

The Cost of Next Generation Product



Programmable Logic Devices

- Early version: Mask-Programmable Gate Arrays
 - Build standard layout of transistors on chip
 - Customer specifies wiring to connect transistors into gates/system
 - Only has to go through last few mask steps of fabrication process, so faster than full chip fabrication
 - May become popular again in the near future
- Newer version: Programmable Logic Devices (PLD)
 - Use AND-OR array to implement arbitrary Boolean functions
 - Programmed by burning fuses that define connection from input wires to gates
 - Customer site programming allows rapid prototyping
 - Limited capacity, functionality
 - Generally have to be used in conjunction with other parts to hold state
 - Used to implement logic with moderate number of inputs (< 20)

Programmable Logic Device Advantages







- Short TAT (total turnaround time)
- No or very low NRE (non-recurring engineering) costs.
- Field-reprogrammable
- Platform-based design

Today - Two Major Types of Programmable Logic

- CPLD (complex programmable logic device)
 - coarse-grained two-level AND-OR programmable logic arrays (PLAs)
 - fast and more predictable delay
 - simpler interconnect structures
- FPGA (field programmable gate array)
 - fine-grained logic cells
 - high logic density
 - good design flexibility (field programmable), easy redesign (just reprogram the chip!)
 - arguably more popular
- Increasing ASIC design costs are making FPGAs more popular. This technology is therefore important to learn about. Hence this course.
 - Enables "garage" technology companies to thrive. This has a huge impact.

Evolution of the FPGA

- Early FPGAs used mainly for "glue logic" between other components
 - Simple CLBs, small number of inputs
 - Focus was on implementing "random" logic efficiently
- As capacities grew, other applications emerged
 - FPGAs as alternative to custom IC's for entire applications
 - Computing with FPGAs
- FPGAs have changed to meet new application demands
 - Carry chains, better support for multi-bit operations
 - Integrated memories, such as the block RAMs in the devices we'll use
 - Specialized units, such as multipliers, to implement functions that are slow/inefficient in CLBs
 - Newer devices incorporate entire CPUs: Xilinx Virtex II Pro has 1-4 Power PC CPUs (we will use such a device in our lab!!!)
 - Devices that don't have CPU hardware generally support synthesized CPUs

Full Custom ICs

- These have captured an important niche in hardware implementation of systems
- Microprocessors, GPUs, network processors, DSP processors are key examples.
 - HIGH sale volume (required to justify huge development cost and time)
 - These are often the flagship products of many semiconductor companies (Intel, IBM, AMD, TI, Freescale, etc)
 - These designs are "custom" designed, to do a specific task extremely fast, with minimum area and power.

FPGAs in Detail

- Now in the next few slides, we will look at the technology that is inside an FPGA IC.
- This will allow us to understand how the FPGA works
- After this, we will be able to make sense of the design flow that is used to design a FPGA based circuit.

Field-Programmable Gate Arrays

• Based on Configurable Logic Blocks (CLB)



Texas A&M University





Xilinx CLB – a.k.a. "Slice"



Figure 1: Simplified Block Diagram of XC4000-Series CLB (RAM and Carry Logic functions not shown)

Page 4-12, Xilinx XC400 Series Field Programmable Gate Arrays Product Specification

An Implementation of a 4-input Look-up Table (4-LUT)



Out = *f* (*in0*, *in1*, *in2*, *in3*)

Input-Output Blocks

- One IOB per FPGA pin
 - Allows pin to be used as input, output, or bidirectional (tri-state)
- Inputs
 - Direct
 - Registered
 - Drive dedicated decoder logic for address recognition

• IOB may also include logic for boundary scan (JTAG)

Xilinx IOB



Figure 16, Xilinx XC400 Series Field Programmable Gate Arrays Product Specification

Texas A&M University

Interconnect

- 2-Dimensional mesh of wires, with switching elements at wire crossings to control routing
 - Bit patterns stored into the switch FFs determine routing
 - Switch connections programmed as part of configuring array
- To optimize for speed, many designs include multiple lengths of wire
 - Single-length (connect adjacent switches)
 - Double-length (connect to switches two hops away)
 - Long lines (run entire length/width of array)

Interconnect Diagram



Figure 29, Xilinx XC400 Series Field Programmable Gate Arrays Product Specification

Texas A&M University

One Commercial FPGA, Altera Stratix II



Chip Shot - Xilinx Spartan-3 die image

• Note the regularity...



Design Variables

- The following issues are something that the company which designs the FPGA needs to worry about. The user of the FPGA is agnostic to these issues.
- # of inputs to LUT
 - Trade off number of CLBs required vs. size of CLB and routing area
- How is logic implemented
 - Switch based? Gate based?
 - SRAM configuration? Fuse burning configuration?
- Flip-flop in CLB?
- Additional Functionality
 - Carry chains, CPU's, block RAM files

Design Flow for Programmable Logic



Texas A&M University

FPGAs – Pros (recap)

- Reasonably cheap at low volume
 - Good for low-volume parts, more expensive than IC for high-volume parts
 - Can migrate from SRAM based to fuse based when volume ramps up
- Short Design Cycle
- Reprogrammable (~1sec programming time)
 - Can download bug fix into units you've already shipped
- Large capacity (100 million gates or so, though we won't use any that big)
 - FPGAs in the lab are "rated" at ~1M gates for 30K LE's
- More flexible than PLDs -- can have internal state
- More compact than MSI/SSI

FPGAs – Cons (recap)

- Lower capacity, speed and higher power consumption than building an ASIC
 - Sub-optimal mapping of logic into CLB's often 60% utilization
 - Much lower clock frequency that max CLB max toggle rate often 40%
 - Less dense layout and placement and slower operation due to programmability
 - Overhead of configurable interconnect and logic blocks
- PLDs may be faster than FPGA for designs they can handle
- Need sophisticated tools to map design to FPGA. But the FPGA vendor typically provides these tools (at a cost).

FPGA Design Flow

- Now that we know what the circuit structure inside an FPGA is, lets see how we go about programming an FPGA.
- In other words, we will briefly cover the steps that we undertake between
 - The conception of a design idea
 - The decision-making step of whether an FPGA will be the correct hardware platform for the design
 - The design flow we follow to obtain an FPGA based hardware realization of this design.

From Concept to Circuit

- Need to <u>specify</u> your design and then <u>implement</u> it as a functioning system
- Trade-offs between time/cost and efficiency
 - Performance of final system
 - Amount of silicon area required (manufacturing cost)
 - Time to manufacture
 - Power consumption
- Need to think about a number of factors to make decision
 - Sales volume
 - Profit margin and how performance affects it
 - Time-to-market concerns, particularly if trying to be the first product in a new area

High-Level Design

- Problem 1: modern designs are just too complex to keep in your head at one time
 - Custom chips approaching 100M transistors
 - FPGA designs approaching 1M gates
- Problem 2: Even if you could design complex systems by hand, it would take too long
 - 100M transistors at 10s/transistor = 133.5 person-years
 - Transistor counts and system speed increasing at 50% or so/year
 - Design time is critical
- # transistors per chip increasing at 50%/year
- # transistors per engineer-day increasing at 10%/year
- Need techniques that reduce the amount of human effort required to design systems
 - Let humans work at higher levels, rely on software to map to low-level designs

Increasing Design Abstraction

- Old way: specify/layout each device by hand
 - Early chips were laid out by cutting patterns in rubylith with knives
- Current State of the Art: Combination of synthesis and hand design
 - Specify entire system in HDL (Verilog or VHDL), simulate, and test
 - Use synthesis tools to convert non-performance-critical parts of the design to transistors/gates
 - Human designs critical components by hand for performance
- Where Things are Going: System-on-a-Chip Design
 - Specify design out of high-level components (cores)
 - Integrate sensors, transmitters, actuators, computers on a chip
 - Rely very heavily on tools to map design to software and hardware.
 - XUP (the board we will use in the lab) is an SoC design vehicle

(FPGA) Design Flow



Design Entry

Two main methods:

- Text entry (VHDL/Verilog):
 - Compact format, no special tools required
 - Good for high-level designs and control logic
- Schematic Capture: Draw pictorial representation of circuit, tool converts into design (typically HDL description)
 - Traditionally used for low-level (transistor) designs, regular structures
 - Commonly used today in conjunction with text entry to provide visual viewing of overall structure of a design

Simulation

- Two types of HDL simulators
 - Interpreted: runs slower but more versatile and no compilation time
 - Compiled: runs faster but require compilation time and often not as versatile partly due to needs to compile all library components used.
- Both typically use Discrete-Event techniques
 - Divide time into discrete steps
 - User can select time step to trade accuracy vs. run-time
 - Keep lists of events that have to be resolved at each time step.
 - At each time step, resolve all events for the time step and schedule events for later time steps
- Output:
 - Text from output/print statements in your design
 - Errors from assert statements
 - Waveform traces
- Like any testing, the key is having good tests. The designer creates these!

Implementation of an FPGA Design

Going from simulated Verilog design to circuits

- 5 Phases
 - Synthesis
 - Timing Analysis
 - Technology Mapping
 - Place and Route
 - Bitstream Generation

(Sometimes do additional timing analysis after place and route just to make sure that the timing is good)

Synthesis

Transforms program-like VHDL into hardware design (netlist)

- Inputs
 - HDL description
 - Timing constraints (When outputs need to be ready, when inputs will be ready, data to estimate wire delay)
 - Technology to map to (list of available blocks and their size/timing information)
 - Information about design priorities (area vs. speed)
- For big designs, will typically break into modules and synthesize each module separately
 - 10K gates/module was reasonable size 5 years ago, tools can 50-100K gates now

Timing Analysis

Static timing analysis is the most commonly-used approach

- Calculate delay from each input to each output of all devices
- Add up delays along each path through circuit to get critical path
- Works as long as no cycles in circuit
 - Tools let you break cycles at registers to handle feedback
- Also, ignores *false paths* in the design.
- Trade off some accuracy for run time
 - Simulation tools like SPICE will give more accurate numbers, but take much longer to run
- If the netlist passes timing analysis tests, we proceed further

Technology Mapping

- Technology mapping converts a given Boolean circuit (a netlist) into a functionally equivalent network comprised only of LUTs or PLAs
 - Basically, can divide logic into n-input functions, map each onto a CLB.
- Technology mapping is a crucial optimization step in the programmable logic design flow
- Direct impact on
 - Delay (number of levels of logic)
 - area/power (number of LUTs or PLAs)
 - Interconnects (number of edges)
- Harder problem: Placing blocks to minimize communication, particularly when using carry chains

Place and Route

Synthesis generates netlist -- list of devices and how they're interconnected

Place and route determines how to put those devices on a chip and how to lay out wires that connect them

Results not as good as you'd like -- 40-60% utilization of devices and wires is typical for FGPA

- Can trade off run time of tool for greater utilization to some degree, but there are serious limits
- Beyond 80% utilization, there is a good chance that routing will fail.

Bitstream Generation

- A bitstream in FPGA-speak is a sequence of bits, which
 - Determines how the FPGA fabric is customized in order to implement the design.
 - It determines how IOs, CLBs, and wiring are configured.
- This bitstream is loaded (serially) into the FPGA in a final step. Now the FPGA is customized to implement the design we wanted.
 - This loading typically takes a few seconds at most.
- Reprogramming simply means that we load a new bitstream on to the FPGA.